1	MI
2	JA
3	As 50
4	Sac Te
5	Fac
6	At Un
7	
8	
9	
10	IIN
11	UN
12	
13	LC
14	LC
15	
16	
17	
18	thr
19	un
20	
21	Se
22	une
23	un
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CHELE BECKWITH ting United States Attorney MÉS R. CONOLLY sistant United States Attorney 1 I Street, Suite 10-100 cramento, CA 95814 elephone: (916) 554-2700 csimile: (916) 554-2900

torneys for Plaintiff nited States of America

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

v.

DUIS JAMES STOKES,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:24-cr-00031-JAM

FIFTH STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND **EXCLUDE TIME** 

DATE: July 1, 2025 TIME: 9:00 a.m.

COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez

## **STIPULATION**

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and rough defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on July 1, 2025.
- 2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until ptember 09, 2025, at 09:00 a.m., and to exclude time between July 1, 2025, and September 09, 2025, der Local Code T4.
  - 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
  - a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case that it has produced thus far includes over 200 pages of investigative reports, DEA laboratory reports, and photographs. Discovery also includes physical evidence including a pill press, chemicals used to manufacture pills, and other related equipment. This discovery has been either produced directly to counsel or, for the physical evidence, will be made available for defense inspection.

1

- b) The government has also made available for review mobile phone data belonging to the defendant.
- c) Further, it is the government's understanding that the defendant is currently progressing through the program at Wellspace and is anticipated to graduate on July 30.
- d) Counsel for defendant desires additional time review the discovery that has been produced, inspect the physical evidence, and to review the discovery he anticipates receiving from the government in the near future. In addition, defense counsel needs additional time to consult with his client, to review the current charges, to conduct further investigation, and to discuss potential resolutions. Defense counsel will also need more time to determine whether this case will proceed to trial, to prepare pretrial motions in that event, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- e) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - f) The government does not object to the continuance.
- g) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 1, 2025 to September 09, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

///

26 ///

27 //

## Case 2:24-cr-00031-JAM Document 44 Filed 06/23/25 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
3	must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	6 Dated: June 20, 2025	MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney	
7		( / X )	
8		/s/ JAMES R. CONOLLY  JAMES R. CONOLLY	
9		Assistant United States Attorney	
10			
11	Dated: June 20, 2025	/s/ MICHAEL D. LONG MICHAEL D. LONG	
12		Counsel for Defendant LOUIS JAMES STOKES	
13		LOUIS JAINES STOKES	
14			
15		ORDER	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Olden	
17			
18	Dated: June 23, 2025	/s/ John A. Mendez	
19		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20		SERIOR OWILD STATES DISTRICT JODGE	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
1			